

Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Pediatric HIV Infection

Downloaded from https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines on 8/31/2020

Visit the AIDSinfo website to access the most up-to-date guideline.

Register for e-mail notification of guideline updates at https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/e-news.

Management of Children Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy (Last

updated April 14, 2020; last reviewed April 14, 2020)

In the United States, the majority of children living with HIV are receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART), making treatment-experienced children the norm. Providers may consider antiretroviral (ARV) regimen changes for the following reasons:

- *Treatment simplification:* Modifying ARV regimens in children who are currently receiving effective ART in order to simplify the regimen.
- *Treatment optimization:* Increasing the treatment potency or barrier to resistance of an effective, but older or potentially fragile, regimen or improving the adverse event profile.
- *Toxicity management:* Recognizing and managing ARV drug toxicity or intolerance (see <u>Management of Medication Toxicity or Intolerance</u>).
- *Treatment failure*: Recognizing and managing treatment failure (see <u>Recognizing and Managing</u> Antiretroviral Treatment Failure).

Modifying Antiretroviral Regimens in Children with Sustained Virologic Suppression on Antiretroviral Therapy

Panel's Recommendations

- Children who have sustained virologic suppression on their current antiretroviral (ARV) regimen should be regularly evaluated
 for opportunities to change to a new regimen that facilitates adherence, simplifies administration, increases ARV potency or
 barrier to resistance, and decreases the risk of drug-associated toxicity (AII).
- Before making changes to a patient's regimen, clinicians must carefully consider the patient's previous regimens, past episodes
 of ARV therapy failure, prior drug resistance test results, drug cost, the patient's insurance coverage, and the patient's ability to
 tolerate the new drug regimen (AIII). Archived drug resistance can limit the antiviral activity of a new drug regimen.
- Children should be carefully monitored after a change in treatment. Viral load measurement is recommended 2 weeks to 4 weeks
 after a change in a child's ARV regimen (BIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials in children[†] with clinical outcomes and/or validated endpoints; I* = One or more randomized trials in adults with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints with accompanying data in children[†] from one or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies in children[†] with long-term outcomes; II* = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational studies in adults with long-term clinical outcomes with accompanying data in children[†] from one or more similar nonrandomized trials or cohort studies with clinical outcome data; III = Expert opinion

† Studies that include children or children/adolescents, but not studies limited to post-pubertal adolescents

Clinicians choose initial antiretroviral (ARV) regimens for children with HIV by evaluating the pharmacokinetic, safety, and efficacy data for the drugs that are available in formulations that are suitable for the child's age and weight at the start of treatment. New ARV drug options may become available as children grow and learn to swallow pills, and as new drugs, drug formulations, and data become available. Even in cases where patients have achieved sustained virologic suppression (i.e., suppression for 6–12 months) on their current regimen, clinicians should consider switching patients to new ARV regimens in order to permit the use of pills instead of liquids, reduce pill burden, allow the use of once-daily medications, reduce the risk of adverse events, minimize drug interactions, and align a child's regimen with widely used, efficacious adult regimens.¹ These changes often enhance adherence and improve quality of life.²

Treatment Simplification

Many children with HIV must initiate treatment with twice-daily dosing, and regimens may include a variety of drug formulations, depending on which formulations are available for a child's age and weight. Clinicians

should regularly review treatment options as children grow, because it may be possible to simplify dosing using coformulated drugs and/or once-daily regimens (see <u>Table 16</u> below). Clinicians should also consider a child's antiretroviral therapy (ART) history and resistance test results. Small studies have shown that children who achieve virologic suppression using twice-daily dosing for certain ARV drugs (i.e., abacavir [ABC], nevirapine [NVP]) maintain virologic suppression when they switch from twice-daily regimens to once-daily regimens (see the <u>Abacavir</u> and <u>Nevirapine</u> sections and fixed-dose combinations [FDCs] in Appendix A, <u>Table 1</u> and <u>Table 2</u>). However, these studies reported mixed results when switching the dosing for lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r) from twice daily to once daily. Therefore, once-daily dosing of LPV/r <u>is not recommended</u>.³⁻⁷

Treatment Optimization

Several studies have addressed switching ARV regimen components in children with sustained virologic suppression. Treatment optimization may include improving the potency of the regimen, improving a child's growth or other health outcomes through reduced drug side effects and/or better treated HIV, or maximizing palatability. Despite concerns about drug class resistance, the results of the NEVEREST 2 study demonstrated that young children (i.e., those aged <2 years) with virologic suppression who switched from a LPV/r-based regimen to a NVP-based regimen maintained virologic suppression as well as those who continued taking LPV/r, provided that they had good adherence and no baseline resistance to NVP.8.9 In the NEVEREST 3 study, children aged ≥3 years who had a history of exposure to NVP and who achieved virologic suppression on a LPV/r-based regimen maintained virologic suppression when switched from LPV/r to an efavirenz (EFV)-based regimen. Similarly, in the NEVEREST 2 study, children who switched to a NVP-based regimen showed better immune and growth responses than those who stayed on a LPV/r-based regimen. Replacing LPV/r with an equally potent protease inhibitor (PI) (e.g., darunavir, atazanavir) or an integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI) (e.g., elvitegravir, raltegravir, dolutegravir [DTG]) would likely be effective, but these substitutions have not been directly studied in children.

Toxicity Management

Several studies of small cohorts of children have demonstrated sustained virologic suppression and reassuring safety outcomes when drugs that have greater long-term toxicity risks are replaced with drugs that are thought to have lower toxicity risks (e.g., replacing stavudine with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, tenofovir alafenamide, zidovudine, or ABC; replacing PIs with non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors), including improved lipid profiles.¹³⁻¹⁷ Additionally, studies in adults have shown improvement in tolerability, lipid profiles, and insulin sensitivity in patients who switched from PIs to INSTIs,¹⁸⁻²² and adults who switched from EFV to an INSTI have shown improvement in neuropsychiatric symptoms. However, the use of INSTIs has been associated with weight gain in adults; this association has not yet been evaluated in children.²³

Regimens That Are Not Recommended for Use in Children

Two-drug regimens and monotherapy PI regimens (darunavir/ritonavir, LPV/r, atazanavir/ritonavir)^{24,25} or monotherapy regimens of DTG^{26,27} have been used to simplify or reduce the toxicity of regimens in adult patients who have sustained virologic suppression, with varying success. These strategies are still being explored, but they are not currently recommended as management strategies in children due to the lack of data.^{25,28-31}

The FDC tablet that contains DTG/rilpivirine (RPV), a nucleoside-sparing, dual-therapy regimen that is marked as Juluca, is approved by the Food and Drug Administration as a complete regimen to replace the current ARV regimen in patients who have been virologically suppressed (HIV RNA <50 copies/mL) on a stable ARV regimen for at least 6 months with no history of treatment failure. This approval was based on two Phase 3 clinical trials, SWORD-1 and SWORD-2, in which treatment-experienced adults who were virologically suppressed on three-drug or four-drug regimens were randomized to either switch to DTG/RPV or to stay on their original regimens. Results from these trials showed similar rates of virologic suppression in both groups (noninferiority) through 48 weeks.³² There are no equivalent data for this drug combination in pediatric patients. The Panel on Antiretroviral Therapy and Medical Management of Children Living with HIV (the Panel) usually endorses the use of adult formulations in adolescents, and this product may be appropriate for certain adolescents. However, because this treatment simplification strategy has not been evaluated in adolescents, who may have difficulties adhering to therapy, the Panel does not recommend the use of Juluca in *Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Pediatric HIV Infection*

adolescents and children until more data are available.

Potential Antiretroviral Drug Switches in Children with Virologic Suppression

Table 16 contains examples of potential ARV drug changes in children with sustained virologic suppression on their current regimen for the purposes of treatment simplification, optimization, or reduced toxicity. When considering such a change, a clinician should first ensure that a recent viral load test indicates that the child is not experiencing virologic failure and that the child has a reliable history of good adherence. Among treatment-naive youth in the United States aged 13 to 24 years, there is some evidence that single-tablet regimens (STRs) improve the odds of viral suppression.³³ While these data have not been replicated in treatment-experienced adolescents, clinicians should still consider using STRs in children and youth with sustained suppression, as these regimens reduce pill burden and dosing frequency. It is also critical to consider ART history, tolerability, and all prior drug resistance test results in order to avoid choosing new ARV drugs for which archived drug resistance would re-emerge and limit the activity of the regimen.³⁴⁻³⁸ The evidence that supports many of these ARV changes is indirect, extrapolated from data about drug performance during initial therapy or follow-up therapy after treatment failure. When such changes are made, careful monitoring (e.g., taking a viral load measurement 2–4 weeks after making the switch to the new regimen) is important to ensure that virologic suppression is maintained.

Table 16. Examples of Changes in Antiretroviral Regimen Components for Children with Sustained Virologic Suppression (page 1 of 3)

This list is not exhaustive and does not necessarily contain all potential treatment options. Instead, it provides examples of changes that could be made. The table only includes information about switching between ARV drugs; it does not include all the information that clinicians should consider before prescribing these drugs, such as drug cost and the patient's insurance coverage. Please refer to individual drug sections, Table 1, and Table 2 in Appendix A: Pediatric Antiretroviral Drug Information for further information about the use of specific ARV drugs and FDC formulations.

Current ARV Drug(s)	Age, Weight, and SMR Requirements	Potential ARV Drug Switch	Comment		
NRTIS					
ABC Twice Daily	Aged ≥1 year	ABC once daily	See the <u>Abacavir</u> ^a section.		
3TC Twice Daily	Aged ≥3 years	3TC once daily	See the <u>Lamivudine</u> section.		
	Any age (starting at full-term birth)	FTC once daily	See the <u>Emtricitabine</u> section.		
	Any weight				
ZDV, ddl, or	Aged ≥3 months	ABC	Less long-term mitochondrial toxicity.		
d4Tb			Children aged ≥1 year can take ABC once daily.		
Note: ddl and d4T should be	Aged ≥2 years	TDF	TDF is a reasonable, once-daily option for HLA-B*5701-positive children for		
replaced as soon as possible due	Weighing 17 kg to <25 kg		whom ABC is not recommended. TDF is available as an oral powder and low- strength tablets alone or in combination with FTC.		
to concerns	Aged ≥2 years	TAFc	Less long-term mitochondrial toxicity. Once-daily dosing. Coformulation with		
about toxicity.	Weighing ≥25 kg		other ARV drugs can further reduce pill burden. TAF is preferred over TDF because of the lower risk of bone and renal toxicity.		
NNRTIS					
NVP or EFV	Any age (starting at full-term birth)	RAL ^d	RAL has a potentially greater barrier to resistance than NVP. Both are dosed twice daily in children.		
	Weighing ≥2 kg				
	Aged ≥3 months	ATV/r	ATV/r has a potentially greater barrier to resistance; however, taking ATV/r may		
	Weighing ≥5 kg		be difficult for some patients, as ATV oral powder must be mixed with food or a beverage before administration, and the palatability of the RTV oral solution is poor.		
	Aged ≥3 years	DRV/r	DRV/r has a potentially greater barrier to resistance. DRV/r is administered		
	Weighing ≥10 kg		twice daily to patients aged <12 years, but may be administered once daily in children aged ≥12 years who do not have any DRV resistance mutations.		

Table 16. Examples of Changes in Antiretroviral Regimen Components for Children with Sustained Virologic Suppression (page 2 of 3)

	uppression (page 2		
Current ARV Drug(s)	Age, Weight, and SMR Requirements	Potential ARV Drug Switch	Comment
NNRTIs, continue	ed		
NVP or EFV, continued	Weighing ≥25 kg	BIC as Biktarvy	Once-daily dosing. BIC is available as a component of the FDC tablet BIC/FTC/TAF (Biktarvy), which is a complete ARV regimen that can be taken with or without food.
	Weighing ≥25 kg	EVG as Genvoya	EVG is available as a component of the FDC tablet EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya), which is a complete ARV regimen that must be taken with food.
	Weighing ≥20 kg	DTG	DTG is available as a smaller, single-drug tablet or as an FDC tablet, both of which can be dosed once daily if no INSTI resistance mutations have been previously detected. DTG plus the weight-appropriate dose of FTC/TDF (Truvada) can be used in children weighing 20 kg to <25 kg. DTG is available as a component of the FDC tablet ABC/DTG/3TC (Triumeq), which is a complete ARV regimen that can be given to children weighing ≥25 kg. Higher barrier to resistance, which makes it a good choice for patients who have poor adherence. May improve lipid levels. See the Dolutegravir section for information regarding use of DTG in female adolescents of childbearing potential and pregnant adolescents. ^e
	Aged ≥12 years	RPV	Lower incidence of adverse lipid effects.
	Weighing ≥35 kg		
Pls	,	1	
LPV/r Twice Daily	Any age (starting at full-term birth) Weighing ≥2 kg	RAL ^d	Better palatability. RAL HD can only be given once daily in those weighing ≥40 kg. Unlike LPV/r, the use of RAL is not restricted to infants with a corrected gestational age of ≥42 weeks and a postnatal age of ≥14 days. RAL granules
	Weigining 22 kg		may be difficult to dose for some caregivers.
	Aged ≥3 years Weighing ≥10 kg	EFV	Once-daily dosing. Better palatability. Lower incidence of adverse lipid effects. See the <u>Efavirenz</u> section for concerns about EFV dosing for children aged <3 years.
	Aged ≥3 months Weighing ≥5 kg	ATV/r	Once-daily dosing. ATV/r may have a lower incidence of adverse lipid effects; however, taking ATV/r may be difficult for some patients, as ATV oral powder must be mixed with food or a beverage before administration, and the palatability of the RTV oral solution is poor.
	Aged ≥3 years Weighing ≥10 kg	DRV/r	DRV/r may have a lower incidence of adverse lipid effects. DRV/r is administered twice daily to patients aged <12 years, but may be administered once daily in children aged ≥12 years who do not have DRV resistance mutations.
	Weighing ≥25 kg	EVG as Genvoya	EVG is available as a component of the FDC tablet EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya), which is a complete ARV regimen that must be taken with food.
	Weighing ≥20 kg	DTG	Once-daily dosing if no INSTI resistance mutations have been previously detected. May be better tolerated, and can be given as an FDC tablet to children weighing ≥25 kg. DTG plus the weight-appropriate dose of FTC/TDF (Truvada) can be used in children weighing 20 kg to <25 kg. May improve lipid levels. See the Dolutegravir section for information regarding use of DTG in female adolescents of childbearing potential and pregnant adolescents. ^e
	Aged ≥12 years Weighing ≥35 kg	RPV	May be better tolerated. Lower incidence of adverse lipid effects.
	Weighing ≥25 kg	BIC as Biktarvy	Once-daily dosing. BIC is available as a component of the FDC tablet BIC/FTC/TAF (Biktarvy), which is a complete ARV regimen that can be taken with or without food.
Other	•		
Any Multi-Pill and/or Twice- Daily Regimen	Weighing ≥25 kg	EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya)	Once-daily dosing. Single pill. Alignment with adult regimens. Must be taken with food.
	Weighing ≥25 kg	FTC/TAF° (Descovy) plus DTG	Once-daily dosing. This regimen may be more desirable because of smaller pill sizes, but it has a higher pill burden (two pills instead of one). Aligns a child's regimen with an efficacious regimen that is used in adults. See the Dolutegravir section for information regarding use of DTG in female adolescents of childbearing potential and pregnant adolescents. ⁶

Table 16. Examples of Changes in Antiretroviral Regimen Components for Children with Sustained Virologic Suppression (page 3 of 3)

Current ARV Drug(s)	Age, Weight, and SMR Requirements	Potential ARV Drug Switch	Comment
Other, continued			
Any Multi-Pill and/or Twice- Daily Regimen, continued	Weighing ≥35 kg SMR 4 or 5	EVG/c/FTC/TDF (Stribild)	Once-daily dosing. Single pill. Aligns a child's regimen with an efficacious regimen that is used in adults. Must be taken with food. Renal and bone toxicity of TDF limit its use.
	Aged ≥12 years	FTC/RPV/TAF (Odefsey)	Once-daily dosing. Single pill. Aligns a child's regimen with an efficacious regimen that is used in adults. Must be taken with food at a consistent time daily.
	Weighing ≥35 kg		
	Weighing ≥25 kg	BIC/FTC/TAF (Biktarvy)	Once-daily dosing. Single pill that can be taken with or without food.
	Aged ≥12 years	FTC/RPV/TDF (Complera)	Once-daily dosing. Single pill. Aligns a child's regimen with an efficacious regimen that is used in adults. Must be taken with food at consistent time daily. Renal and bone toxicity of TDF limit its use.
	Weighing ≥35 kg		
	SMR 4 or 5		
	Weighing ≥25 kg	ABC/DTG/3TC (Triumeq)	Once-daily dosing. Single pill. Aligns a child's regimen with an efficacious regimen that is used in adults. Large pill size may be a deterrent. See the <u>Dolutegravir</u> section for information regarding use of DTG in female adolescents of childbearing potential and pregnant adolescents. ^e
	Weighing ≥40 kg SMR 4 or 5	EFV/FTC/TDF (Atripla)	Once-daily dosing. Single pill. Aligns a child's regimen with an efficacious regimen that is used in adults. Renal and bone toxicity of TDF as well as CNS toxicity of EFV limit its use.

^a For infants and young children who are being treated with liquid formulations of ABC, initiation with once-daily ABC is not generally recommended. In clinically stable patients with undetectable viral loads who have had stable CD4 counts for >6 months (24 weeks) on twice-daily ABC, the dose can be changed from twice daily to once daily.

Key: 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ARV = antiretroviral; ATV = atazanavir; ATV/r = atazanavir/ritonavir; BIC = bictegravir; CD4 = CD4 T lymphocyte cell; CNS = central nervous system; d4T = stavudine; ddl = didanosine; DRV = darunavir; DRV/r = darunavir/ritonavir; DTG = dolutegravir; EFV = efavirenz; EVG = elvitegravir; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; HD = high dose; HLA = human leukocyte antigen; INSTI = integrase strand transfer inhibitor; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; NNRTI = non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NVP = nevirapine; PI = protease inhibitor; RAL = raltegravir; RPV = rilpivirine; RTV = ritonavir; SMR = sexual maturity rating; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; ZDV = zidovudine

References

- 1. Hsu AJ, Neptune A, Adams C, Hutton N, Agwu AL. Antiretroviral stewardship in a pediatric HIV clinic: development, implementation and improved clinical outcomes. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2016;35(6):642-648. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26906161.
- 2. Maiese EM, Johnson PT, Bancroft T, Goolsby Hunter A, Wu AW. Quality of life of HIV-infected patients who switch antiretroviral medication due to side effects or other reasons. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2016:1-8. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27552553.
- 3. Foissac F, Blanche S, Dollfus C, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of atazanavir/ritonavir in HIV-1-infected children and adolescents. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2011;72(6):940-947. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21649692.

^b See Archived Drugs in Appendix A: Pediatric Antiretroviral Drug Information.

^c For children and adolescents weighing 25 kg to <35 kg, TAF can be used in combination with an INSTI or an NNRTI, but <u>not</u> a boosted PI. For children and adolescents weighing ≥35 kg, TAF can be used in combination with an INSTI, NNRTI, or a boosted PI.

^d RAL is recommended for twice-daily use in children. Chewable tablets can be used as dispersible tablets starting at 4 weeks of age. RAL HD once daily is **only** recommended for virologically suppressed children weighing \geq 40 kg.

Exposure to DTG around the time of conception has been associated with a small but significant increase in the risk of infant neural tube defects. Additional information and specific recommendations about the use of DTG in adolescents and adults who are pregnant and those who are trying to conceive or who may become pregnant are available in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines (see Table 6b and Adolescents and Young Adults with HIV) and in the Perinatal Guidelines (see Teratogenicity, Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, and Appendix D. Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers).

- Chokephaibulkit K, Prasitsuebsai W, Wittawatmongkol O, et al. Pharmacokinetics of darunavir/ritonavir in Asian HIV-1-infected children aged ≥7 years. *Antivir Ther*. 2012;17(7):1263-1269. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22954687.
- 5. Lyall H. Final results of Koncert: A randomized noninferiority trial of QD vs BD LPV/r dosing in children. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2014. Boston, Massachusetts.
- 6. Paediatric European Network for Treatment of AIDS. Once vs. twice-daily lopinavir/ritonavir in HIV-1-infected children. *AIDS*. 2015;29(18):2447-2457. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26558544.
- 7. Gondrie IPE, Bastiaans DET, Fraaij PLA, et al. Sustained viral suppression in HIV-infected children on once-daily lopinavir/ritonavir in clinical practice. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2017;36(10):976-980. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28475554.
- 8. Coovadia A, Abrams EJ, Stehlau R, et al. Reuse of nevirapine in exposed HIV-infected children after protease inhibitor-based viral suppression: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2010;304(10):1082-1090. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20823434.
- 9. Kuhn L, Coovadia A, Strehlau R, et al. Switching children previously exposed to nevirapine to nevirapine-based treatment after initial suppression with a protease-inhibitor-based regimen: long-term follow-up of a randomised, open-label trial. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2012;12(7):521-530. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22424722.
- 10. Coovadia A, Abrams E, Strehlau R, et al. NEVEREST Study Team. Virologic efficacy of efavirenz maintenance therapy in nevirapine prophylaxis-exposed children. Abstract #73. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2014. Boston, Massachusetts.
- 11. Coovadia A, Abrams EJ, Strehlau R, et al. Efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy among nevirapine-exposed HIV-infected children in South Africa: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2015;314(17):1808-1817. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26529159.
- 12. Murnane PM, Strehlau R, Shiau S, et al. Switching to efavirenz versus remaining on ritonavir-boosted lopinavir in HIV-infected children exposed to nevirapine: long-term outcomes of a randomized trial. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2017;65(3):477-485. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28419200.
- 13. Vigano A, Aldrovandi GM, Giacomet V, et al. Improvement in dyslipidaemia after switching stavudine to tenofovir and replacing protease inhibitors with efavirenz in HIV-infected children. *Antivir Ther*. 2005;10(8):917-924. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16430197.
- 14. Fabiano V, Giacomet V, Vigano A, et al. Long-term body composition and metabolic changes in HIV-infected children switched from stavudine to tenofovir and from protease inhibitors to efavirenz. *Eur J Pediatr.* 2013;172(8):1089-1096. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23636286.
- 15. Rosso R, Nasi M, Di Biagio A, et al. Effects of the change from stavudine to tenofovir in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children treated with highly active antiretroviral therapy: studies on mitochondrial toxicity and thymic function. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2008;27(1):17-21. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18162932.
- 16. Aurpibul L, Puthanakit T, Sirisanthana T, Sirisanthana V. Haematological changes after switching from stavudine to zidovudine in HIV-infected children receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy. *HIV Med.* 2008;9(5):317-321. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18331562.
- 17. Gonzalez-Tome MI, Amador JT, Pena MJ, Gomez ML, Conejo PR, Fontelos PM. Outcome of protease inhibitor substitution with nevirapine in HIV-1 infected children. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2008;8:144. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18945352.
- 18. Arribas JR, Pialoux G, Gathe J, et al. Simplification to coformulated elvitegravir, cobicistat, emtricitabine, and tenofovir versus continuation of ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor with emtricitabine and tenofovir in adults with virologically suppressed HIV (STRATEGY-PI): 48 week results of a randomised, open-label, Phase 3b, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2014;14(7):581-589. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24908551.
- 19. Martinez E, Larrousse M, Llibre JM, et al. Substitution of raltegravir for ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitors in HIV-infected patients: the SPIRAL study. *AIDS*. 2010;24(11):1697-1707. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20467288.
- 20. Curran A, Martinez E, Saumoy M, et al. Body composition changes after switching from protease inhibitors to raltegravir: SPIRAL-LIP substudy. *AIDS*. 2012;26(4):475-481. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22112606.

- 21. Bagella P, Squillace N, Ricci E, et al. Lipid profile improvement in virologically suppressed HIV-1-infected patients switched to dolutegravir/abacavir/lamivudine: data from the SCOLTA project. *Infect Drug Resist.* 2019;12:1385-1391. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31213857.
- 22. Calza L, Colangeli V, Borderi M, et al. Improvement in insulin sensitivity and serum leptin concentration after the switch from a ritonavir-boosted PI to raltegravir or dolutegravir in non-diabetic HIV-infected patients. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2019;74(3):731-738. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30541118.
- 23. Pozniak A, Markowitz M, Mills A, et al. Switching to coformulated elvitegravir, cobicistat, emtricitabine, and tenofovir versus continuation of non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor with emtricitabine and tenofovir in virologically suppressed adults with HIV (STRATEGY-NNRTI): 48 week results of a randomised, open-label, phase 3b non-inferiority trial. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2014;14(7):590-599. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24908550.
- 24. Soriano V, Fernandez-Montero JV, Benitez-Gutierrez L, et al. Dual antiretroviral therapy for HIV infection. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* 2017;16(8):923-932. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28621159.
- 25. Arribas JR, Girard PM, Paton N, et al. Efficacy of protease inhibitor monotherapy vs. triple therapy: meta-analysis of data from 2303 patients in 13 randomized trials. *HIV Med.* 2016;17(5):358-367. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26709605.
- 26. Brenner BG, Thomas R, Blanco JL, et al. Development of a G118R mutation in HIV-1 integrase following a switch to dolutegravir monotherapy leading to cross-resistance to integrase inhibitors. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2016;71(7):1948-1953. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27029845.
- 27. Wiiting I, Rokx C, Wit F, et al. Integrase inhibitors are an independent risk factor for IRIS: an ATHENA cohort study. Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2017. Seattle, Washington.
- 28. Rokx C, Schurink CA, Boucher CA, Rijnders BJ. Dolutegravir as maintenance monotherapy: first experiences in HIV-1 patients. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2016;71(6):1632-1636. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26888910.
- 29. Pinnetti C, Lorenzini P, Cozzi-Lepri A, et al. Randomized trial of DRV/r or LPV/r QD monotherapy vs maintaining a PI/r-based antiretroviral regimen in persons with suppressed HIV replication. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2014;17(4 Suppl 3):19809. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25397553.
- 30. Santos JR, Llibre JM, Bravo I, et al. Short communication: efficacy and safety of treatment simplification to lopinavir/ritonavir or darunavir/ritonavir monotherapy: a randomized clinical trial. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2016;32(5):452-455. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26781004.
- 31. Kosalaraksa P, Ananworanich J, Puthanakit T, et al. Long-term lopinavir/ritonavir monotherapy in HIV-infected children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(4):350-353. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23190774.
- 32. Llibre JM, Hung CC, Brinson C, et al. Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of dolutegravir-rilpivirine for the maintenance of virological suppression in adults with HIV-1: Phase 3, randomised, non-inferiority SWORD-1 and SWORD-2 studies. *Lancet*. 2018;391(10123):839-849. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29310899.
- 33. Griffith DC, Farmer C, Gebo KA, et al. Uptake and virological outcomes of single- versus multi-tablet antiretroviral regimens among treatment-naive youth in the HIV research network. *HIV Med.* 2019;20(2):169-174. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30561888.
- 34. Agwu AL, Fairlie L. Antiretroviral treatment, management challenges and outcomes in perinatally HIV-infected adolescents. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2013;16:18579. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23782477.
- 35. Wensing AM, Calvez V, Gunthard HF, et al. 2015 Update of the drug resistance mutations in HIV-1. *Top Antivir Med*. 2015;23(4):132-141. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26713503.
- 36. Dehority W, Deville JG, Lujan-Zilbermann J, Spector SA, Viani RM. Effect of HIV genotypic drug resistance testing on the management and clinical course of HIV-infected children and adolescents. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2013;24(7):549-553. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23970770.
- 37. Tobin NH, Learn GH, Holte SE, et al. Evidence that low-level viremias during effective highly active antiretroviral therapy result from two processes: expression of archival virus and replication of virus. *J Virol.* 2005;79(15):9625-9634. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16014925.
- 38. Kuritzkes DR. Preventing and managing antiretroviral drug resistance. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2004;18(5):259-273. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15186710.